



White paper

The strategic imperative of real-time intraday liquidity management

Unlock scalability, visibility and more confident decision-making

Executive summary

The global financial ecosystem is in the midst of a fundamental transformation, moving decisively from delayed settlement models to an always-on, real-time payments landscape. As transaction speeds accelerate, the traditional "end-of-day" approach to liquidity management has not just become outdated, but could become a liability. Financial institutions now face intense dual pressures: increasingly strict regulatory frameworks, such as the European Union Payment Directive 2027, and the stark operational risks exposed by recent, high-profile market disruptions. This paper discusses why real-time intraday liquidity management is a non-negotiable strategic imperative.

This analysis explores the profound limitations of outdated infrastructure, which often trap institutions in a cycle of inefficiency and risk. We delve into the rising cost of capital and illustrate the significant strategic advantages that can be unlocked through granular, real-time visibility into cash positions. Institutions that delay modernizing their liquidity frameworks expose themselves not only to non-compliance but also to substantial financial inefficiencies, reputational damage, and a diminished competitive edge. The paper concludes with actionable recommendations for a comprehensive modernization effort, including the strategic adoption of cloud-native technologies, API-driven connectivity, and dynamic stress testing capabilities.



Introduction: The end of the "end-of-day" era

For decades, the world of treasury and liquidity management operated on a comfortable and predictable timeline. It was a world governed by the rhythm of batch processing, where the day's transactions were settled and reconciled largely in hindsight. Treasurers operated with the understanding that while payments and obligations occurred throughout the business day, the finalization of positions – the actual movement and balancing of value – happened in large, scheduled batches, often overnight. This temporal buffer provided a cushion, allowing for manual reconciliation, "best effort" reporting, and a retrospective approach to risk management.

Today, that buffer has all but evaporated. The proliferation of real-time payment (RTP) schemes, the 24/7 nature of digital banking, and the deep interconnectedness of global markets mean that liquidity positions now fluctuate wildly in seconds, not hours. The forces driving this change are relentless. Consumers and corporate customers now demand the immediate finality of instant settlement. The speed of digital interactions has increased exponentially, where a corporate treasurer can initiate a multi-billion-dollar transaction with a single API call, and retail depositors can drain accounts instantly via mobile apps. Compounding this is a market environment with unprecedented volatility in interest rates and currency markets, which has dramatically increased the cost of funding errors and overdrafts.

These factors have created an operating environment where a lack of intraday visibility results in significant operational friction and tangible economic costs. Real-time intraday liquidity management is no longer a "nice-to-have" feature reserved for top-tier global banks. It has become a fundamental requirement for institutional stability, regulatory compliance and sustained profitability. This white paper will examine why the financial services industry has reached a crucial tipping point, where real-time visibility is the only viable path forward.

The regulatory catalyst for change

Regulation often drives major infrastructure investments within financial services, and the current landscape is intensely focused on mitigating liquidity risk. Regulators across the globe, haunted by the memory of the 2008 financial crisis and jolted by more recent episodes of market turmoil, are signaling a clear message: "blind spots" during the trading day are no longer acceptable. They expect institutions to demonstrate robust, verifiable control over their cash flows at any given minute, not just at the market's close.

Frameworks like the European Union's proposed Payment Directive 2027 (PSD3) are a clear indicator of this global shift. The directive doesn't just mandate that financial organizations must accommodate real-time payments; it suggests that the entire back-office infrastructure must support these flows in real time. If a bank processes a payment instantly, it must also manage the associated liquidity obligation instantly. Failure to do so exposes the institution to settlement failures, reputational harm, and swift regulatory consequences.

Similarly, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's standards, particularly BCBS 248, introduced the formal requirement for monitoring intraday liquidity. Evolving standards like PCBS 27 are tightening these requirements even further. They're moving beyond simple monitoring to demand that banks can report not just on their end-of-day positions, but also on their maximum intraday liquidity usage, their ability to meet time-specific obligations, and the availability of unencumbered assets throughout the day. These are not trivial reporting exercises; they require a level of data granularity and processing speed that's simply unattainable for many institutions still reliant on outdated systems.

The legacy infrastructure trap

What's preventing institutions from meeting these new demands? A significant barrier to compliance, efficiency and strategic agility is outdated technology. Many financial institutions, including some of the world's largest, are still running on core banking and treasury systems that were developed in the 1980s and 1990s. While these mainframe-based systems have been remarkably robust and reliable for decades, they're architecturally rigid and were designed for a world that no longer exists.

This creates the "legacy infrastructure trap." Many outdated systems attempt to simulate real-time performance by refreshing data at set intervals – perhaps every 15, 30, or even 60 minutes. This is often referred to as "near-real-time," but it creates a dangerous illusion of control. In a high-velocity payments environment, a 15-minute delay is an eternity. A significant payment outflow can occur at minute one of the cycle, leaving the bank exposed to a potential overdraft or settlement failure until the system refreshes at minute fifteen. This is not proactive management; it's reactive damage control.

Furthermore, liquidity data in most large organizations is fragmented across disparate, siloed systems. One system handles high-value payments, another manages treasury operations, and yet another tracks securities settlements. Aggregating this data manually or through batch file transfers creates dangerous latency and introduces a high risk of human error. The result is an incomplete and outdated picture of the institution's true liquidity position. These outdated on-premise systems also face scalability limits. They struggle to handle the immense computational load required for real-time monitoring and analytics, especially during peak transaction volumes or periods of market stress, precisely when visibility is most critical.

Many financial institutions are still running on core banking and treasury systems that were developed in the 1980s and 1990s. While these mainframe-based systems have been remarkably robust and reliable for decades, they're architecturally rigid and were designed for a world that no longer exists.



The risk of invisibility: Lessons from market failures

The collapse of Silicon Valley Bank (SVB) in 2023 served as a stark and painful wake-up call regarding the nature of liquidity risk in the digital age. The speed of the deposit flight was utterly unprecedented, fueled by social media panic and the frictionless ease of digital banking. A bank run that once took days or weeks to unfold in physical lines now happens in a matter of hours through mobile apps and API calls.

While issues of asset-liability mismatch were a root cause of SVB's failure, the inability to effectively see and manage intraday liquidity flows exacerbated the crisis. Institutions that lack real-time visibility can't detect a digital bank run until it's already well underway. They're unable to see the aggregate impact of thousands of individual digital withdrawals until a batch process runs, by which time the damage may be irreversible.

This new class of risk demands a new approach to risk management. Traditional stress testing, which is often a static, periodic exercise run overnight using historical data, is no longer sufficient. Modern risk management requires the capability for **dynamic intraday stress testing**. Treasury and risk teams need the ability to run simulations against live, up-to-the-second data. They must be able to ask critical questions and receive immediate, data-driven answers: "What happens if our largest corporate customer initiates a major outflow in the next hour?" or "What is the impact if a key counterparty fails to settle its obligations by 12:00 p.m.?" Without the tools to ask and answer these questions in real time, banks are effectively flying blind in a storm.

The economic case for modernization

Beyond the crucial imperatives of risk and compliance, there's another powerful and compelling economic argument for embracing real-time liquidity management. Uncertainty is expensive. When treasurers are unsure of their exact cash positions, they're forced to maintain excessively large liquidity buffers – essentially pools of idle cash held in low-yield nostro or central bank accounts – to prevent accidental overdrafts. In a rising interest-rate environment, the opportunity cost of this trapped liquidity is substantial. Real-time visibility allows these buffers to be safely and strategically reduced, freeing up capital that can be deployed into higher-yield investments or used to fund loan growth.

Accurate, real-time forecasting also directly lowers funding costs. Intraday overdrafts and the need for emergency borrowing from correspondent banks or central bank facilities come at a premium. By preventing accidental shortfalls, an institution can directly improve its net interest margin and enhance its bottom-line profitability.

Moreover, real-time liquidity management transforms the treasury function from a defensive cost center into a proactive profit center. With precise visibility into cash flows, treasurers can confidently identify surplus cash much earlier in the day. This surplus can then be strategically deployed into short-term investment vehicles like money market funds or overnight reverse repos, capturing incremental yield that, when aggregated over a year, can add up to millions of dollars.



A solution like FIS® Liquidity Hub allows an institution to ingest, normalize and reconcile data from all internal systems and external sources like correspondent banks and clearing systems.

Recommendations for a modern liquidity framework

How, then, should an institution begin this journey of modernization? Navigating these challenges and capturing the strategic benefits of real-time liquidity requires a deliberate and comprehensive overhaul of the treasury infrastructure.

First, institutions must begin the **transition to cloud-native platforms**. This means moving away from the constraints of outdated on-premise systems to modern, public cloud environments. Solutions like **FIS® Quantum Cloud Edition** are designed to leverage the natural elasticity of the cloud, allowing them to handle massive data volumes and complex calculations without the latency of outdated hardware. Cloud platforms provide the critical scalability needed to perform during market stress events and peak processing hours.

Second, organizations must **adopt an API-first connectivity strategy**. It's time to replace the slow, brittle and error-prone process of scheduled file transfers with modern API connectivity. APIs enable the "push" of data instantly as events occur. This ensures that the treasury workstation reflects the reality of the bank's position at the exact moment a payment is executed or received, eliminating the dangerous blind spots created by batch processing.

Third, a modern framework requires the implementation of **dynamic stress testing capabilities**. This involves investing in tools that allow for ad-hoc, real-time simulation of liquidity scenarios. The ability to model the impact of a counterparty failure or a sudden market shock using live data is an essential component of modern risk management. This moves stress testing from a periodic, compliance-driven checklist item to a continuous, proactive strategic defense mechanism.

Finally, achieving a single, authoritative view of liquidity requires **centralized data aggregation**. Deploying a solution like **FIS® Liquidity Hub** allows an institution to ingest, normalize and reconcile data from all internal systems – payments, securities, trade finance – and external sources like correspondent banks and clearing systems. Creating this single source of truth is the foundational prerequisite for accurate, timely and confident real-time decision-making.

Conclusion

The "end-of-day" era of liquidity management is definitively over. The powerful convergence of intense regulatory pressure, rapid technological innovation, and heightened market volatility has elevated real-time intraday management from an operational detail to a core strategic imperative. Financial institutions can no longer afford to postpone this critical transformation. The risks of maintaining the status quo – ranging from regulatory penalties and operational failures to existential liquidity crises – are simply too high to ignore.

Conversely, the rewards of modernization are substantial. They include optimized capital allocation, reduced funding costs, enhanced risk control, and a more resilient and profitable operating model. By investing in modern, cloud-native infrastructure and embracing a real-time operational philosophy, institutions can successfully turn their liquidity management function from a back-office burden into a powerful source of competitive and strategic strength, securing their position for the future.

[Learn more](#)



Money at rest. Money in motion. Money at work.™

FIS helps you develop a clear view of your liquidity.

Our **technology** powers the global economy across the money lifecycle.



Money at rest

Unlock seamless integration and human-centric digital experiences while ensuring efficiency, stability, and compliance as your business grows.



Money in motion

Unlock liquidity and flow of funds by synchronizing transactions, payment systems, and financial networks without compromising speed or security.



Money at work

Unlock a cohesive financial ecosystem and insights for strategic decisions to expand operations while optimizing performance.

[fisglobal.com/contact-us](https://www.fisglobal.com/contact-us)

[linkedin.com/company/fis](https://www.linkedin.com/company/fis)

[x.com/fisglobal](https://www.x.com/fisglobal)

This material is for information purposes only of the intended recipient. We have taken care in the preparation of this information but will not be responsible for any losses or damages including loss of profits, indirect, special or consequential losses arising as a result of any information in this document or reliance on it (other than in respect of fraud or death or personal injury caused by negligence). Terms and conditions apply to all our services. The content of this material may not be reproduced without prior consent of FIS.

© 2026 FIS. FIS and the FIS logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of FIS or its subsidiaries in the U.S. and/or other countries. Other parties' marks are the property of their respective owners. 4289811